

Urth and Whorl mailing lists

The Urth list — 6 messages, 3 voices, 17 Oct 2000

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/2401>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

Talarican — 17 Oct 2000, 20:47

----- Original Message -----

From: Ranjit Bhatnagar <ranjit at moonmilk.com>

...

Please discuss on the lists and/or send me your opinions:

* Is it really necessary to have two lists, or would one be more comfortable?

Considering the frequency of cross-list and hybrid discussions, I'm inclined to favor a unified list, myself.

* Would you be offended or annoyed by advertising inserted into every message?

(sigh!) well, c'est la vie! Beats no messages at all. Would this require HTML capabilities on the part of our mail programs?

* Is the ability to search the message archive for keywords useful to you?

yes, quite.

Any other advice or suggestions would be welcomed.

Ranjit Bhatnagar
Keeper of the Urth and Whorl lists

And once again, we are tremendously in your debt.

Talarican — 17 Oct 2000, 20:47 · follows Talarican

----- Original Message -----

From: Ranjit Bhatnagar <ranjit at moonmilk.com>

...

Please discuss on the lists and/or send me your opinions:

* Is it really necessary to have two lists, or would one be more comfortable?

Considering the frequency of cross-list and hybrid discussions, I'm inclined to favor a unified list, myself.

* Would you be offended or annoyed by advertising inserted into every message?

(sigh!) well, c'est la vie! Beats no messages at all. Would this require HTML capabilities on the part of our mail programs?

* Is the ability to search the message archive for keywords useful to you?

yes, quite.

Any other advice or suggestions would be welcomed.

Ranjit Bhatnagar
Keeper of the Urth and Whorl lists

And once again, we are tremendously in your debt.

mark millman — 18 Oct 2000, 09:58 · follows Talarican

Folks,

Here's my small contribution to the future form of the Urth and Whorl lists.

At 8:23 am PDT on 17 October 2000, Ranjit Bhatnagar wrote:
[snip]

Please discuss on the lists and/
or send me your opinions:

* Is it really necessary to have
two lists, or would one be
more comfortable?

Personally I prefer two, as I find that it makes threads easier to follow, but it's not a big issue; I could deal with one big list, if that's what others prefer.

* Would you be offended or
annoyed by advertising inserted
into every message?

I'd rather not have advertising if it can be avoided, but I'd much rather have advertising than no list at all. I imagine it won't be too difficult to ignore ads.

* Is the ability to search the
message archive for keywords
useful to you?

Oh yes indeed.

Any other advice or suggestions
would be welcomed.

Well, this isn't advice or a suggestion, but I'm very grateful to you for running this list for us, and for keeping it up even in the face of danger and disaster. Thank you.

Ranjit Bhatnagar
Keeper of the Urth and Whorl lists

Mark Millman (Nacre)
kibbitzer on the Urth and Whorl lists

mark millman — 18 Oct 2000, 09:58 · follows mark millman

Folks,

Here's my small contribution to the future form of the Urth and Whorl lists.

At 8:23 am PDT on 17 October 2000, Ranjit Bhatnagar wrote:
[snip]

Please discuss on the lists and/
or send me your opinions:

* Is it really necessary to have
two lists, or would one be
more comfortable?

Personally I prefer two, as I find that it makes threads easier to follow, but it's not a big issue; I could deal with one big list, if that's what others prefer.

* Would you be offended or
annoyed by advertising inserted
into every message?

I'd rather not have advertising if it can be avoided, but I'd much rather have advertising than no list at all. I imagine it won't be too difficult to ignore ads.

* Is the ability to search the
message archive for keywords
useful to you?

Oh yes indeed.

Any other advice or suggestions
would be welcomed.

Well, this isn't advice or a suggestion, but I'm very grateful to you for running this list for us, and for keeping it up even in the face of danger and disaster. Thank you.

Ranjit Bhatnagar
Keeper of the Urth and Whorl lists

Mark Millman (Nacre)
kibbitzer on the Urth and Whorl lists

William Ansley — 19 Oct 2000, 01:20 · follows mark millman

"Talarican" <exultnttalarican at mindspring.com> wrote:

...

> Please discuss on the lists and/or send me your opinions:

* Is it really necessary to have two lists, or would one be
more comfortable?

>

Considering the frequency of cross-list and hybrid discussions, I'm inclined to favor a unified list, myself.

I also favor a single list.

* Would you be offended or annoyed by advertising inserted
into every message?

(sigh!) well, c'est la vie! Beats no messages at all. Would this require HTML capabilities on the part of our mail programs?

I would say an absolute no to any ads that were not plain text. I would prefer no ads, but will put up with plain text ads rather than have no list.

* Is the ability to search the message archive for keywords useful to you?

yes, quite.

I consider the search capability to be a very good thing. And, if you can include it in the next incarnation of the list, don't keep it such a secret next time, i.e., put a link to the search page in the addendum tacked onto every message or digest.

> Any other advice or suggestions would be welcomed.

See previous response.

>

Ranjit Bhatnagar
Keeper of the Urth and Whorl lists

And once again, we are tremendously in your debt.

I heartily second this.

William Ansley

William Ansley — 19 Oct 2000, 01:20 · follows William Ansley

"Talarican" <exultnttalarican at mindspring.com> wrote:

...

> Please discuss on the lists and/or send me your opinions:

* Is it really necessary to have two lists, or would one be more comfortable?

>

Considering the frequency of cross-list and hybrid discussions, I'm inclined to favor a unified list, myself.

I also favor a single list.

* Would you be offended or annoyed by advertising inserted into every message?

(sigh!) well, c'est la vie! Beats no messages at all. Would this require HTML capabilities on the part of our mail programs?

I would say an absolute no to any ads that were not plain text. I would prefer no ads, but will put up with plain text ads rather than have no list.

* Is the ability to search the message archive for keywords useful to you?

yes, quite.

I consider the search capability to be a very good thing. And, if you can include it in the next incarnation of the list, don't keep it such a secret next time, i.e., put a link to the search page in the addendum tacked onto every message or digest.

> Any other advice or suggestions would be welcomed.

See previous response.

>

Ranjit Bhatnagar
Keeper of the Urth and Whorl lists

And once again, we are tremendously in your debt.

I heartily second this.

William Ansley