

# uncut dogs

The Urth list — 1 messages, 1 voices, 22 Apr 2001

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/3206>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

---

Andy Robertson — 22 Apr 2001, 12:28

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tony Ellis" <LittleSense at necronomicon.co.uk>

So. I don't really have a theory. It's another of those little bits of 5HC

I

keep coming back to and coming back to.

An awfully crude question.

When Marsh remarks that the boy is "uncut" and \*therefore\* when he was with the girl, would "bind", or something like that (sorry I can't lay my hand on my copy of 5HC right now) , what the hell is he talking about?

"They would bind, I know. I think I would kill them if I found them like that"

(from memory)

This seems to refer to the way a male dog gets stuck up inside a female one and cannot disengage. I am uncircumsised and I can assure all you prick-trimmed Yanks that nothing like that at all happens.

Why did Marsh think this?

Does this signal something about Abo sexual behaviour?

(Or are there entire realms of sexual experience I have yet to taste? :-)

hartshorn