

PEACE: Weer through thick and thin

The Urth list — 1 messages, 1 voices, 30 Oct 2002

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/3528>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

William Ansley — 30 Oct 2002, 04:18

At 4:19 PM -0700 10/24/02, Michael Andre-Driussi wrote:

===

TEXTUAL VARIATION ALERT

In the hc it is "twenty . . . pounds underweight" (3).

In the Berkley pb it is "twenty . . . pounds overweight" (3).

===

The Orb paperback edition also reads "underweight." If this version is correct, then Weer is always skinny, which would seem to throw at least a small monkey wrench into your time-splice-at-the-doctors-office theory. That is, if we have a "thin, prosperous" Weer rather than a "fat, prosperous" Weer, then there is less evidence that we have jumped from the Weer of one time to the Weer of another. At the very least, we have lost a convenient marker.

William Ansley

--