

Orthodoxy

The Urth list — 2 messages, 1 voices, 29 Dec 2002

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/3726>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

Malcolm McGugan — 29 Dec 2002, 21:05

I think most people would agree that Wolfe can make his tales deceptively ambiguous, I always feel that most of his stories can easily support many alternative (and often contradictory) meanings simul

One of the few things that depress me slightly when reading the lists, is when orthodoxy is proposed and stoutly defended. You know the kind of thing? Someone posts a long and enthusiastic message (of

The result is a response that reads like; "So-and-So says such-and-such on page whatever of New Sun/last months Interzone/the Koran. And therefore your five-page thesis linking the constellations of U

Of course this works the other way too. Someone posts their own interpretation and then goes on to demand that all accept this view as to what the author really intended, any other interps being by innastler

Har du problemer med din hjemmecomputer? FÅ¥ hjÅ|lp med Yahoo!s PC-support

Malco — 29 Dec 2002, 21:51 · follows Malcolm McGugan

I think most people would agree that Wolfe can make his tales deceptively ambiguous, I always feel that most of his stories can easily support many alternative (and often contradictory) meanings simultaneously. And I like to be aware of as many of them as possible whilst I read so that I can see where a certain point of view is supported in the text and where it is weakened.

One of the few things that depress me slightly when reading the lists, is when orthodoxy is proposed and stoutly defended. You know the kind of thing? Someone posts a long and enthusiastic message (often these read like labours of love) detailing an imaginative set of connections that is in some way counterintuitive or is just flatly rejected by several authoritative quotes within the book, sources or literary criticism.

The result is a response that reads like; "So-and-So says such-and-such on page whatever of New Sun/last months Interzone/the Koran. And therefore your five-page thesis linking the constellations of Urth to the characters in Happy Days is invalid and unintended by the author (a fatuous example, please accept my apology).

Of course this works the other way too. Someone posts their own interpretation and then goes on to demand

that all accept this view as to what the author really
intended, any other interps being by implication,
bunkum.
nastler

Har du problemer med din hjemmecomputer? Få hjælp med Yahoo!s PC-support på
<http://dk.shopping.yahoo.com/pcsupport/index.html>