

DOORS: Tina as Tinkerbelle

The Urth list — 1 messages, 1 voices, 29 Jan 2003

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/3821>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

Michael Andre-Driussi — 29 Jan 2003, 00:13

Spectacled Bear quoted me and wrote:

That is one of the funnier and puzzling bits (I could've sworn Roy Lackey was complaining about Tina's Daddy knowledge as being a weird bending/blending of the worlds where there should be no blending, but looking over the messages I can't seem to find it).

Roy said: "Given the necessarily limited amount of memory available in a mechanical doll, I don't think it would be expended on a person the child could never know." - which I would agree with.

True, but I was referring to messages before that one (and that one still doesn't capture what I thought I remembered). My fault. (And now to argue: if the doll is a socialization/indoctrination tool, then it seems to me that it better have some kind of positive message about daddies in there among its canned lectures! Still, having writ that, she really does seem to be talking about E-daddies rather than O-daddies.)

Mainly right now I just want to say what the subject line says. I could push it further, claim that noun "tinker," aside from being related to copper (iirc), is now more commonly known as a verb "to mess about with machines; workshop invention" but that begins to obscure the point.

She even has a little green dress. (Well yes, green does tie back into copper, but still.) Sure, she can talk where Tink is virtually mute . . . and Tink can fly . . . and Tink gets insanely jealous . . . well, the differences start to pile up.

=mantis=