

apology

The Urth list — 4 messages, 3 voices, 23 May 2006

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/4611>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

b sharp — 23 May 2006, 17:16

I'd like to apologize for monopolizing so much of the community space lately. My excuse is that I spent the last 20 years reading the 5 Urth books at least once and often twice a year with no one to discuss them. So the floodgates are currently open, unless a chorus of complaints arise. The reservoir is draining and should be at more acceptable levels soon.

Daniel D Jones — 23 May 2006, 21:46 · in reply to b sharp

On Tuesday 23 May 2006 13:16, b sharp wrote:

I'd like to apologize for monopolizing so much of the community space lately. My excuse is that I spent the last 20 years reading the 5 Urth books at least once and often twice a year with no one to discuss them. So the floodgates are currently open, unless a chorus of complaints arise. The reservoir is draining and should be at more acceptable levels soon.

I'm rather at a loss as to why you'd apologize for discussing Wolfe's works on a mailing list devoted to discussing Wolfe's works. It's email. If someone is offended or bored with your posts, they can easily skip over the posts. If they're really tired of reading your posts, they can twit you. Personally, I've found your posts quite interesting. Post on.

(I'm not sure if the word "twit" is still commonly used in this manner. In the old BBS days and the early days of the Internet, all of a decade and a half or so ago, a "twit filter" was a filter which automatically deleted posts from a specific person. To "twit" someone was to add them to your twit filter.)

Dan'l Danehy-Oakes — 23 May 2006, 23:57 · in reply to Daniel D Jones

Seconding what Daniel says; B, I find your posts fascinating, and usually well-reasoned even if I don't agree with them. You are not the first person to come into this list and make a whole lot of postings, full of new observations and theories; even when the theories are far more controversial than yours have been, I think it's a good thing, because it tends to bring new energy to the list, which otherwise tends to languish somewhat between Lupine publications.

--Dan'l

On 5/23/06, Daniel D Jones <ddjones at riddlemaster.org> wrote:

On Tuesday 23 May 2006 13:16, b sharp wrote:

I'd like to apologize for monopolizing so much of the community space lately. My excuse is that I spent the last 20 years reading the 5 Urth books at least once and often twice a year with no one to discuss them. So the floodgates are currently open, unless a chorus of complaints arise. The reservoir is draining and should be at more acceptable levels soon.

I'm rather at a loss as to why you'd apologize for discussing Wolfe's works on a mailing list devoted to discussing Wolfe's works. It's email. If someone

is offended or bored with your posts, they can easily skip over the posts.
If they're really tired of reading your posts, they can twit you.
Personally, I've found your posts quite interesting. Post on.

(I'm not sure if the word "twit" is still commonly used in this manner. In the old BBS days and the early days of the Internet, all of a decade and a half or so ago, a "twit filter" was a filter which automatically deleted posts from a specific person. To "twit" someone was to add them to your twit filter.)

b sharp — 02 Jun 2006, 13:02 · follows Dan'I Danehy-Oakes

Once again I'd like to apologize to the group for the excessive volume of my posts this past month and my sometimes less than polite tone for a new group member. I was in a bit of a maniacal phase which has passed. I'll post anything of interest the manic episode produces. Thanks to all!

-bsharp