

A silly digression on nits and how to pick them.

The Urth list — 14 messages, 8 voices, 14 Aug 2008

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/5130>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

Kieran Mullen — 14 Aug 2008, 04:08

On Wednesday night I read:

Message: 5
Date: Wed, 13 Aug 2008 15:18:57 -0400 (EDT)
From: brunians at brunians.org
Subject: Re: (urth) A second dream team: Mucor, Oreb, and Pike

Why is it important to you that other people should conform to your standards of correctness, Mark? If you understand what they are saying, is it really necessary that you point out to them that they are wrong? Why do you do this, if it is not necessary?

And I immediately thought:

"Eye suppoze ewer rite. If ewe can sea watt peephole are saying itz knot raleigh kneaded two poynt out tooth em that they are rung."

Mr. Millman may have been a bit exasperated in his corrections, but they were short and to the point. I learned something from them. We should aspire to use these unfamiliar words correctly.

Kieran "Should anal-retentive be hyphenated?" Mullen

Dan'l Danehy-Oakes — 14 Aug 2008, 04:34 · in reply to Kieran Mullen

Kieran,

Since you asked,

"Anal retentive" should be hyphenated when used as a compound adjective -- "Oh, that's just my anal-retentive tendencies" -- but not when used as a noun phrase -- "He is SUCH an anal retentive."

--Dan'l "is it okay to shout Movie in a crowded firehouse?" Danehy-Oakes

On Wed, Aug 13, 2008 at 9:08 PM, Kieran Mullen <kieran at ou.edu> wrote:

On Wednesday night I read:

Message: 5
Date: Wed, 13 Aug 2008 15:18:57 -0400 (EDT)
From: brunians at brunians.org
Subject: Re: (urth) A second dream team: Mucor, Oreb, and Pike

Why is it important to you that other people should conform to your standards of correctness, Mark? If you understand what they are saying, is it really necessary that you point out to them that they are wrong? Why do you do this, if it is not necessary?

And I immediately thought:

"Eye suppoze ewer rite. If ewe can sea watt peephole are saying itz knot raleigh kneaded two poynt out tooth em that they are rung."

Mr. Millman may have been a bit exasperated in his corrections, but they were short and to the point. I learned something from them. We should aspire to use these unfamiliar words correctly.

Kieran "Should anal-retentive be hyphenated?" Mullen

Dave Tallman — 14 Aug 2008, 11:40 · in reply to Kieran Mullen

Kieran Mullen wrote:

Mr. Millman may have been a bit exasperated in his corrections, but they were short and to the point. I learned something from them. We should aspire to use these unfamiliar words correctly.

As the corrected poster, I would like to say that I didn't mind too much. I would rather have had feedback on the substance of my post, but it was an interesting response anyway and it showed me that someone was reading and paying attention. I do attempt to use words correctly and the gender-neutral use of "inhumi" was a bit of a grammatical stretch.

brunians at brunians.org — 14 Aug 2008, 12:05 · in reply to Dave Tallman

But would a gay inhumu be an inhomo?

I am ashamed.

Kieran Mullen wrote:

Mr. Millman may have been a bit exasperated in his corrections, but they were short and to the point. I learned something from them. We should aspire to use these unfamiliar words correctly.

As the corrected poster, I would like to say that I didn't mind too much. I would rather have had feedback on the substance of my post, but it was an interesting response anyway and it showed me that someone was reading and paying attention. I do attempt to use words correctly and the gender-neutral use of "inhumi" was a bit of a grammatical stretch.

hammstu at sbcglobal.net — 14 Aug 2008, 15:04 · follows brunians at brunians.org

Excellent!!

-----Original Message-----

From: brunians at brunians.org

Sender: urth-bounces at lists.urth.net

To: The Urth Mailing List

ReplyTo: The Urth Mailing List

Sent: Aug 14, 2008 5:05 AM

Subject: Re: (urth) A silly digression on nits and how to pick them.

But would a gay inhumu be an inhomo?

I am ashamed.

.

Kieran Mullen wrote:

Mr. Millman may have been a bit exasperated in his corrections, but they were short and to the point. I learned something from them. We should aspire to use these unfamiliar words correctly.

As the corrected poster, I would like to say that I didn't mind too much. I would rather have had feedback on the substance of my post, but it was an interesting response anyway and it showed me that someone was reading and paying attention. I do attempt to use words correctly and the gender-neutral use of "inhumi" was a bit of a grammatical stretch.

Urth Mailing List

To post, write urth at urth.net

Subscription/information: <http://www.urth.net>

Matthew King — 14 Aug 2008, 20:19 · in reply to brunians at brunians.org

On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 7:05 AM, <brunians at brunians.org> wrote:

But would a gay inhumu be an inhomo?

I think he'd just be an inhumu in good humor.

brunians at brunians.org — 14 Aug 2008, 20:29 · in reply to Matthew King

On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 7:05 AM, <brunians at brunians.org> wrote:

But would a gay inhumu be an inhomo?

I think he'd just be an inhumu in good humor.

I remember the Good Humor man. I guess inhumu flavored ice cream just didn't take off. I know that liver flavored ice cream was a big flop.

.

jayrwasdf at comcast.net — 14 Aug 2008, 20:52 · follows brunians at brunians.org

Wouldn't that be a inhoho?

sorry.

-j

----- Original message -----

From: "Matthew King" <automatthew at gmail.com>
On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 7:05 AM, <brunians at brunians.org> wrote:

But would a gay inhumu be an inhomo?

I think he'd just be an inhumu in good humor.

Matthew King — 14 Aug 2008, 21:14 · in reply to jayrwasdf at comcast.net

On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 3:52 PM, <jayrwasdf at comcast.net> wrote:
Wouldn't that be a inhoho?

Tu quoque?

Dan'l Danehy-Oakes — 14 Aug 2008, 21:27 · in reply to Matthew King

I think it's time to inhume this thread...

On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 2:14 PM, Matthew King <automatthew at gmail.com> wrote:
On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 3:52 PM, <jayrwasdf at comcast.net> wrote:

Wouldn't that be a inhoho?

Tu quoque?

Urth Mailing List
To post, write urth at urth.net
Subscription/information: <http://www.urth.net>

Dan'l Danehy-Oakes, writer, trainer, bon vivant

<http://www.livejournal.com/users/sturgeonslawyer>
<http://www.danehyoakes.com>

I once absend-mindedly ordered Three Mile Island dressing in a restaurant
and, with great presence of mind, they brought Thousand Island Dressing and
a bottle of chili sauce. -- T. Pratchett

brunians at brunians.org — 14 Aug 2008, 21:28 · in reply to jayrwasdf at comcast.net

I believe that would be one that is creme-filled and chocolate covered.

.

Wouldn't that be a inhoho?
sorry.
-j

----- Original message -----

From: "Matthew King" <automatthew at gmail.com>
On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 7:05 AM, <brunians at brunians.org> wrote:

But would a gay inhumu be an inhomo?

I think he'd just be an inhumu in good humor.

brunians at brunians.org — 14 Aug 2008, 21:30 · in reply to Dan'l Danehy-Oakes

I tried, Lord knows.

Maybe put a big rock over it?

.

I think it's time to inhume this thread...

On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 2:14 PM, Matthew King <automatthew at gmail.com> wrote:

On Thu, Aug 14, 2008 at 3:52 PM, <jayrwasdf at comcast.net> wrote:

Wouldn't that be a inhoho?

Tu quoque?

Urth Mailing List

To post, write urth at urth.net

Adam Thornton — 14 Aug 2008, 23:26 · in reply to brunians at brunians.org

On Aug 14, 2008, at 4:30 PM, brunians at brunians.org wrote:
I tried, Lord knows.

Maybe put a big rock over it?

Throw it in a deep pit. That always works. No one ever escapes from deep pits.

Adam

brunians at brunians.org — 14 Aug 2008, 23:41 · in reply to Adam Thornton

On Aug 14, 2008, at 4:30 PM, brunians at brunians.org wrote:
I tried, Lord knows.

Maybe put a big rock over it?

Throw it in a deep pit. That always works. No one ever escapes from deep pits.

Except that one guy in that Jack Vance novel. Aillas. That was him.

Suldrun's Garden. Lyonesse I.

.