

The Politics Of Gene Wolfe?????

The Urth list — 1 messages, 1 voices, 18 Mar 2009

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/5366>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

David Stockhoff — 18 Mar 2009, 01:43

James Without disagreeing with your larger argument, I just want to point out that your statement below makes no sense whatsoever. It's plainly an argument from analogy, and not a very coherent one.

----- Message: 6 Date: Tue, 17 Mar 2009

10:46:15 -0500 From: "James Wynn" <crushtv at gmail.com> Subject: Re: (urth) The Politics Of Gene Wolfe To: "The Urth Mailing List" <urth at lists.urth.net> Message-ID: <609D2162EB2D44C9AB6D5158A8CDA99E at eMachinePC> Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="iso-8859-1"; reply-type=original

Furthermore, the amendment grants this "right" to "the people." To read this amendment as pro-regulators like to would mean that the first amendment "right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances" would only apply to the right of state governments to assemble and to make official statements to the Federal government.
