

Guns ad nauseum

The Urth list — 8 messages, 4 voices, 18 Mar 2009

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/5369>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

David Stockhoff — 18 Mar 2009, 18:27

Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

Message: 6

Date: Wed, 18 Mar 2009 14:17:07 -0400 (EDT)

From: brunians at brunians.org

Subject: Re: (urth) The Politics Of Gene Wolfe

To: "The Urth Mailing List" <urth at lists.urth.net>

Message-ID: <14217.67.142.130.35.1237400227.squirrel at brunians.org>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Of course criminals, by definition, aren't very bright, but why would one choose to break into a house where one knew that the residents were armed? Even if you went with overwhelming force, you have to assume that at least one or two of you are going to die.

If they go when he is not there, I guess I am assuming that he stores his weapons properly when he is not home. So what do the kiddies do if they are not professional safecrackers (there are almost none of these around anymore)? Bring a forklift and a flatbed trailer?

brunians at brunians.org — 18 Mar 2009, 18:40 · in reply to David Stockhoff

Yes, well, if you are going to be living in the city, you have to safegaurd your property. If that means a walk-in gun safe built into the house, that is what it means.

All sorts of things are stolen all the time. That is too bad, but as long as my things aren't stolen, I don't get too worked up about it.

.

Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

Message: 6

Date: Wed, 18 Mar 2009 14:17:07 -0400 (EDT)

From: brunians at brunians.org

Subject: Re: (urth) The Politics Of Gene Wolfe
To: "The Urth Mailing List" <urth at lists.urth.net>
Message-ID: <14217.67.142.130.35.1237400227.squirrel at brunians.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Of course criminals, by definition, aren't very bright, but why would one choose to break into a house where one knew that the residents were armed? Even if you went with overwhelming force, you have to assume that at least one or two of you are going to die.

If they go when he is not there, I guess I am assuming that he stores his weapons properly when he is not home. So what do the kiddies do if they are not professional safecrackers (there are almost none of these around anymore)? Bring a forklift and a flatbed trailer?

avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090317-0, 03/17/2009
Tested on: 3/18/2009 2:27:11 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
<http://www.avast.com>

Jeff Wilson — 19 Mar 2009, 12:29 · in reply to David Stockhoff

David Stockhoff wrote:
Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

This is much preferable to having people break-in while you are at home, so I'd call it a successful deterrence unless it was somehow established that the marginal desire to steal the gun motivated the decision to break in at all.

Jeff Wilson - jwilson at io.com
< <http://www.io.com/~jwilson> >

brunians at brunians.org — 19 Mar 2009, 12:32 · in reply to Jeff Wilson

David Stockhoff wrote:
Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

This is much preferable to having people break-in while you are at home, so I'd call it a successful deterrence unless it was somehow established that the marginal desire to steal the gun motivated the decision to break in at all.

A decent gunsafe, structurally attached to the house, is not so very expensive, especially when you consider how much cash value even a small gun collection can run to.

.

Jeff Wilson — 19 Mar 2009, 13:12 · in reply to brunians at brunians.org

brunians at brunians.org wrote:

David Stockhoff wrote:

Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

This is much preferable to having people break-in while you are at home, so I'd call it a successful deterrence unless it was somehow established that the marginal desire to steal the gun motivated the decision to break in at all.

A decent gunsafe, structurally attached to the house, is not so very expensive, especially when you consider how much cash value even a small gun collection can run to.

I agree, but I don't see how that addresses my comment.

Jeff Wilson - jwilson at io.com
< <http://www.io.com/~jwilson> >

David Stockhoff — 19 Mar 2009, 14:25 · follows Jeff Wilson

Right, that was the point. Guns, iPods, laptops, cameras, cell phones, GPS units, and cash must be 90% of what people steal from homes and cars. Of course, one can assume any home has at least 1 of these, but if one knows for sure, well ... ----- Message: 3 Date: Thu, 19 Mar 2009 07:29:21 -0500 From: Jeff Wilson <jwilson at io.com> Subject: Re: (urth) Guns ad nauseum To: The Urth Mailing List <urth at lists.urth.net> Message-ID: <49C23AA1.2080605 at io.com> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed David

Stockhoff wrote:

Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

This is much preferable to having people break-in while you are at home, so I'd call it a successful deterrence unless it was somehow established that the marginal desire to steal the gun motivated the decision to break in at all.

-- Jeff Wilson - jwilson at io.com < <http://www.io.com/~jwilson> >

James Wynn — 19 Mar 2009, 14:35 · in reply to David Stockhoff

Right, that was the point. Guns, iPods, laptops, cameras, cell phones, GPS units, and cash must be 90% of what people steal from homes and cars. Of course, one can assume any home has at least 1 of these, but if one knows for sure, well ...

If someone truly believes that having a firearm in the house makes them a target for robbery, then they should put a little sign in their window: "This house is a gun free zone."

J

brunians at brunians.org — 19 Mar 2009, 15:05 · in reply to Jeff Wilson

brunians at brunians.org wrote:

David Stockhoff wrote:

Guns are stolen all the time. Gun collectors have to lock up their stuff, and even then they get hit. I know someone whose neighbor bashed a hole in his wall to do it. He wasn't home.

This is much preferable to having people break-in while you are at home, so I'd call it a successful deterrence unless it was somehow established that the marginal desire to steal the gun motivated the decision to break in at all.

A decent gunsafe, structurally attached to the house, is not so very expensive, especially when you consider how much cash value even a small gun collection can run to.

I agree, but I don't see how that addresses my comment.

It doesn't. I am in total agreement with your comment.

.