

general video discussing Wolfe and literature

The Urth list — 14 messages, 7 voices, 11 Nov 2014

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/urth/6697>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

Marc Aramini — 11 Nov 2014, 11:59

I realized that I had been using youtube only for exercise videos and physical feats for years (mostly under a different channel titled "felicitusbreviis") when I could actually be talking about something worthwhile, so I made this little video yesterday. Much of this I have said in various posts, but I thought it might be neat for those who don't have time to read some of the posts to have an audio option. It has a slightly more mainstream target audience than the urth mailing list (people somewhat familiar with literary history and criticism but perhaps those who don't necessarily appreciate Wolfe). I cut some stuff out which tied my discussion of Aristotle and the early purposes of literature to Wolfe, as I felt it made this first video too long.

Hope you enjoy it. (Next time I won't wear a shirt of that color.)

http://youtu.be/VKw_xUI6fDE

Daniel Otto Jack Petersen — 11 Nov 2014, 13:24 · in reply to Marc Aramini

Forgot to bring my headphones with me to the university library today, but I look forward to checking this out when I get home! Thanks! -DOJP

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Marc Aramini <marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:

I realized that I had been using youtube only for exercise videos and physical feats for years (mostly under a different channel titled "felicitusbreviis") when I could actually be talking about something worthwhile, so I made this little video yesterday. Much of this I have said in various posts, but I thought it might be neat for those who don't have time to read some of the posts to have an audio option. It has a slightly more mainstream target audience than the urth mailing list (people somewhat familiar with literary history and criticism but perhaps those who don't necessarily appreciate Wolfe). I cut some stuff out which tied my discussion of Aristotle and the early purposes of literature to Wolfe, as I felt it made this first video too long.

Hope you enjoy it. (Next time I won't wear a shirt of that color.)

http://youtu.be/VKw_xUI6fDE

Mark Lewin — 11 Nov 2014, 14:02 · in reply to Daniel Otto Jack Petersen

Love it! You make some very good points in an interesting and engaging way. More please!

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 01:24 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen wrote:
Forgot to bring my headphones with me to the university library today,

but I look forward to checking this out when I get home! Thanks! -DOJP

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Marc Aramini
<marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:

I realized that I had been using youtube only for exercise videos and physical feats for years (mostly under a different channel titled "felicibusbrevis") when I could actually be talking about something worthwhile, so I made this little video yesterday. Much of this I have said in various posts, but I thought it might be neat for those who don't have time to read some of the posts to have an audio option. It has a slightly more mainstream target audience than the urth mailing list (people somewhat familiar with literary history and criticism but perhaps those who don't necessarily appreciate Wolfe). I cut some stuff out which tied my discussion of Aristotle and the early purposes of literature to Wolfe, as I felt it made this first video too long.

Hope you enjoy it. (Next time I won't wear a shirt of that color.)

http://youtu.be/VKw_xUI6fDE

Mark Lewin — 11 Nov 2014, 14:04 · in reply to Mark Lewin

Oh, and because I'm nosy, what's that painting on the wall behind you Marc? It looks rather special. It certainly has a beautiful frame.

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 02:02 PM, Mark Lewin wrote:
Love it! You make some very good points in an interesting and engaging way. More please!

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 01:24 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen wrote:

Forgot to bring my headphones with me to the university library today, but I look forward to checking this out when I get home!
Thanks! -DOJP

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Marc Aramini
<marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:

I realized that I had been using youtube only for exercise videos and physical feats for years (mostly under a different channel titled "felicibusbrevis") when I could actually be talking about something worthwhile, so I made this little video yesterday. Much of this I have said in various posts, but I thought it might be neat for those who don't have time to read some of the posts to have an audio option. It has a slightly more mainstream target audience than

Marc Aramini — 11 Nov 2014, 14:28 · in reply to Mark Lewin

The last supper of Leonardo da Vinci .. Can you tell I am Catholic? Heh heh. (Gaudy Italian Americans can sometimes be stereotyped pretty accurately.). Glad you enjoyed it! Thanks!

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Mark Lewin <mark at marklewin.com> wrote:
Oh, and because I'm nosy, what's that painting on the wall behind you
Marc? It looks rather special. It certainly has a beautiful frame.

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 02:02 PM, Mark Lewin wrote:

Love it! You make some very good points in an interesting and engaging
way. More please!

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 01:24 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen wrote:

Forgot to bring my headphones with me to the university library today, but
I look forward to checking this out when I get home! Thanks! -DOJP

Mark Lewin — 11 Nov 2014, 15:16 · in reply to Marc Aramini

I was right: it was a special painting!

Thanks :)

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 02:28 PM, Marc Aramini wrote:
The last supper of Leonardo da Vinci .. Can you tell I am Catholic?
Heh heh. (Gaudy Italian Americans can sometimes be stereotyped pretty
accurately.). Glad you enjoyed it! Thanks!

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Mark Lewin <mark at marklewin.com> wrote:

—
Oh, and because I'm nosy, what's that painting on the wall behind you
Marc? It looks rather special. It certainly has a beautiful frame.

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 02:02 PM, Mark Lewin wrote:

Love it! You make some very good points in an interesting and
engaging way. More please!

Mark

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014, at 01:24 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen wrote:

Forgot to bring my headphones with me to the university library
today, but I look forward to checking this out when I get home!
Thanks! -DOJP

Charles Gillingham — 11 Nov 2014, 16:12 · follows Mark Lewin

Great video! Can't wait for part two. One take, and no "umms" or "likes", very impressive. Now I'll have to rush to finish Peace before you get part two out.

Marc Aramini — 11 Nov 2014, 17:17 · in reply to Charles Gillingham

There's a few uhhs in there but I try to stay energetic when the camera rolls! Thanks! Glad you enjoyed it.

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Charles Gillingham <charlesgillingham at gmail.com> wrote:
Great video! Can't wait for part two. One take, and no "umms" or "likes", very impressive. Now I'll have to rush to finish Peace before you get part two out.

Daniel Otto Jack Petersen — 11 Nov 2014, 18:20 · in reply to Marc Aramini

Great, Marc! Loved the section on Trip Trap especially. I think I've not consciously tried to understand where Wolfe fits in between Modernism and Postmodernism all this time and I think you summed it up nicely: he kind of uses the trappings of postmodernism to undermine its commitment to utter fragmentation and subjectivity. Very sly, as you'd expect from Wolfe. I think that's why his works are so rich at many levels: that of reader enjoyment/engagement, that of deep literary analysis, that of philosophy and theology, that of sheer spirituality, that of symbolism, and so on. You get all the 'play' and 'reader-response' of pomo but with a profound sense of a deeper underlying structure that really stays put, so real it can make you shiver.

Thanks. I look forward to the next.

-DOJP

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 5:17 PM, Marc Aramini <marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:
There's a few uhhs in there but I try to stay energetic when the camera rolls! Thanks! Glad you enjoyed it.

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Charles Gillingham <charlesgillingham at gmail.com> wrote:
Great video! Can't wait for part two. One take, and no "umms" or "likes", very impressive. Now I'll have to rush to finish Peace before you get part two out.

Matthew King — 11 Nov 2014, 18:39 · in reply to Daniel Otto Jack Petersen

On Nov 11, 2014, at 12:20 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen <danielottojackpetersen at gmail.com> wrote:
he kind of uses the trappings of postmodernism to undermine its commitment to utter fragmentation and subjectivity

"Superversion" as John C. Wright calls it.

Marc Aramini — 11 Nov 2014, 19:12 · in reply to Matthew King

That's how it's done- beat 'em at their own game. Are practitioners superverts or superverterers?

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Matthew King <automatthew at gmail.com> wrote:
On Nov 11, 2014, at 12:20 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen <danielottojackpetersen at gmail.com <javascript:;>> wrote:

he kind of uses the trappings of postmodernism to undermine its commitment to utter fragmentation and subjectivity

"Superversion" as John C. Wright calls it.

Dan'l Danehy-Oakes — 11 Nov 2014, 19:18 · in reply to Marc Aramini

Superversionists?

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:12 AM, Marc Aramini <marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:

That's how it's done- beat 'em at their own game. Are practitioners superverts or superverters?

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Matthew King <automatthew at gmail.com> wrote:

On Nov 11, 2014, at 12:20 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen <danielottojackpetersen at gmail.com> wrote:

he kind of uses the trappings of postmodernism to undermine its commitment to utter fragmentation and subjectivity

"Superversion" as John C. Wright calls it.

Daniel Otto Jack Petersen — 11 Nov 2014, 19:34 · in reply to Dan'l Danehy-Oakes

Awesome. I am officially a superversive.

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 7:18 PM, Dan'l Danehy-Oakes <danldo at gmail.com> wrote:

Superversionists?

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:12 AM, Marc Aramini <marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:

That's how it's done- beat 'em at their own game. Are practitioners superverts or superverters?

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Matthew King <automatthew at gmail.com> wrote:

On Nov 11, 2014, at 12:20 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen <danielottojackpetersen at gmail.com> wrote:

he kind of uses the trappings of postmodernism to undermine its commitment to utter fragmentation and subjectivity

"Superversion" as John C. Wright calls it.

Urth Mailing List

Antonin Scriabin — 11 Nov 2014, 20:55 · in reply to Daniel Otto Jack Petersen

This was really great, subscribed.

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 2:34 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen <danielottojackpetersen at gmail.com> wrote:

Awesome. I am officially a superversive.

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 7:18 PM, Dan'l Danehy-Oakes <danldo at gmail.com> wrote:

Superversionists?

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:12 AM, Marc Aramini <marcaramini at gmail.com> wrote:

That's how it's done- beat 'em at their own game. Are practitioners superverts or superverters?

On Tuesday, November 11, 2014, Matthew King <automatthew at gmail.com> wrote:

On Nov 11, 2014, at 12:20 PM, Daniel Otto Jack Petersen <danielottojackpetersen at gmail.com> wrote:

he kind of uses the trappings of postmodernism to undermine its commitment to utter fragmentation and subjectivity

"Superversion" as John C. Wright calls it.

Urth Mailing List

To post, write urth at urth.net