

dual list discussion

The Whorl list — 3 messages, 2 voices, 10 Dec 2001

<https://urth.darkrealm.vip/thread/whorl/1499>

Exported from an unofficial mirror of a public mailing list. Copyright in each message remains with the person who wrote it. Email addresses are obscured as `user at host`, the form the original archive published. This file was rebuilt from parsed fields rather than retained headers, so it is faithful in content but not byte-exact.

William Ansley — 10 Dec 2001, 19:12

I have a request to make.

Could everyone who has a comment to make about whether to have one or two Wolfe discussion lists and what they should be called please post to the Urth list alone or cross post to both Urth and Whorl.

I would prefer the former but the latter is much to be preferred over having this discussion wander off into two separate threads, as it is threatening to do.

William Ansley

William Ansley — 10 Dec 2001, 19:32 · follows William Ansley

Spectacled Bear was kind enough to write:

At 04:36 2001-12-10, William Ansley wrote:

I think we should have one list, or two. <g>

I think the Urth and Whorl lists should be combined into a single list, but I would like to see a second list for discussion of authors of interest to this group, other than Wolfe. (They could be called the Urth and Earth lists.)

"Now *that's* clever." - Wallace, in "The Wrong Trousers".

Spectacled Bear, who thought of the same lists but would never have come up with such a good name.

I should have made it very clear that I make no claim to have come up with the division of focus of the two discussion lists I describe above. I don't know who came up with it first, but I have seen the same basic idea raised more than one and more than twice.

I have to admit that I also think my names are clever, but I now realize they would be a pain in the neck if we ever had to "talk" about the lists with someone else. ("Did you mean 'U-erth' or 'E-erth'?")

I think Blattid's suggestion, "Urth" and "Sky", is at least as clever as my idea, much more appropriate and avoids that pronouciation problem.

William Ansley

Spectacled Bear — 10 Dec 2001, 18:41 · follows William Ansley

At 19:32 2001-12-10, William Ansley wrote:

I have to admit that I also think my names are clever, but I now realize they would be a pain in the neck if we ever had to "talk" about the lists with someone

else. ("Did you mean 'U-erth' or 'E-erth'?"")

True.

I think Blattid's suggestion, "Urth" and "Sky", is at least as clever as my idea, much more appropriate and avoids that pronouciation problem.

Poetic, too. Gets my vote!

Spectacled Bear.